

Evaluating the Impact of Mergers and Acquisitions on Shareholder Value in the U.S. Capital Market

Eric Asamoah¹, and William Kweku Afresi Buabin²

¹ Department of Economics, St. Louis University, U.S.A

² Department of Business Administration, Methodist University College, Ghana

Abstract: Mergers and acquisitions play a transformative role in the U.S. capital market, influencing corporate growth, market dynamics, and shareholder value. This review paper examines the impact of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) on shareholder value in the U.S. capital market, synthesizing insights from existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence. By analyzing historical trends, evaluation methodologies, and key influencing factors, the study highlights the diverse outcomes of mergers and acquisitions transactions, which range from value enhancement through synergies and strategic alignment to challenges arising from integration inefficiencies and volatile market conditions. This comprehensive analysis highlights the critical importance of understanding the implications of mergers and acquisitions for shareholders and the broader financial ecosystem in fostering sustainable growth and stability. The paper provides actionable recommendations for investors, corporate leaders, and policymakers while identifying areas for further research to refine methodologies and address emerging trends in the dynamic landscape of mergers and acquisitions activities. Although there is an abundance of literature on M&A and shareholder value, this review uniquely synthesizes lessons from iconic twentieth-century transactions with today's technology-facilitated acquisitions to create updated analytical frameworks and actionable diagnostics for current U.S. capital market.

Keywords: Mergers and Acquisitions, Shareholder Value, U.S. Capital Market, Corporate Synergies.

INTRODUCTION

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) are essential strategies within the U.S. capital market, serving as powerful tools for corporate expansion, market consolidation, and organizational restructuring. These transactions play a transformative role in shaping company structures, altering competitive landscapes, and influencing financial outcomes (Vinogradova, V. 2021; Hayes, A. 2024; Emerald Insight. 2014). For companies aiming to enhance their competitiveness, M&A offers a pathway to achieve strategic realignment and operational synergies.

The evaluation of M&A impact on shareholder value is a topic of critical importance, given the potential for both significant value creation and destruction (Setu, A., & Rothman, H. 2023). By altering ownership structures and financial dynamics, these transactions can profoundly affect shareholder wealth, requiring stakeholders to carefully assess both opportunities and risks. Investors, regulators, and corporate executives must rely on comprehensive analyses to make informed decisions about M&A activities.

This review seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the dynamics of M&A transactions, emphasizing their implications for shareholder wealth. It integrates insights from historical trends, empirical studies, and recent data to explore the factors influencing transaction outcomes. Additionally, it highlights

methodologies for evaluating M&A impact, offering practical recommendations to optimize decision-making and enhance transaction success. By synthesizing findings across diverse contexts, this paper aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the mechanisms driving M&A and their broader implications for the U.S. capital market. Also, this paper fills a distinct gap by combining historical case-based lessons with the study of modern trends and long-horizon value metrics to highlight persistent determinants of success and failure in U.S. M&A, and to point out methodological limitations that bias many contemporary conclusions.

Background and Theoretical Framework

Shareholder value encompasses the financial gains shareholders receive from their investment, primarily through stock price growth and dividend distributions. It is often evaluated using specific financial metrics that provide insights into a company's profitability and efficiency. Among these, *Earnings Per Share (EPS)* quantifies the net income allocated to each outstanding share, serving as a measure of profitability. Similarly, *Return on Equity (ROE)* evaluates how effectively a company utilizes shareholders' equity to generate profits, offering a broader view of financial performance. Another vital indicator, *Total Shareholder Return (TSR)*, accounts for both capital gains and dividend income, providing a

holistic measure of investment success (Hayes, A. 2023; Wikipedia, 2025)

In M&A, several theoretical frameworks help explain how shareholder value is affected.

Synergies

Synergies play a pivotal role, representing the efficiencies and enhanced revenue potential that arise when two firms combine their resources and capabilities. These benefits might include cost reductions, improved efficiency, increased market share, or innovation acceleration (Muszynski, M. 2024; Wellhub, 2025).

Agency Theory

Another critical lens is **agency theory**, which addresses potential conflicts of interest between a company's management and its shareholders. Managers may pursue M&A transactions that prioritize personal benefits, such as expanded control or compensation, rather than maximizing shareholder returns (Kopp, C. 2024; Marsch, T. 2015)

Market Efficiency

Finally, **market efficiency** posits that in efficient capital markets, stock prices integrate all publicly available information, reflecting a transaction's true value. However, anomalies or behavioral biases may disrupt this equilibrium, leading to temporary mispricings that can influence shareholder outcomes (Downey, L. 2024; Capital.com. 2020). Together, these perspectives provide a comprehensive understanding of the forces shaping shareholder value during M&A activities.

Historical Trends in Mergers and Acquisitions

The U.S. has undergone multiple waves of M&A, each shaped by distinct economic conditions, regulatory landscapes, and technological innovations. During the 1980s, the focus was on leveraged buyouts and hostile takeovers, reflecting aggressive corporate strategies. This period was marked by significant financial engineering and complex debt structures (Golbe, D., & White, L. 1987).

In the 1990s, a surge in high-profile mergers occurred, driven by industry consolidation, particularly in sectors like telecommunications and banking. These mergers often aimed to achieve economies of scale and improve market dominance, although their impacts on shareholder value varied significantly. Landmark transactions during this period included the Exxon-Mobil merger in 1999, which highlighted strategic

alignment, and the merger of American Online (AOL) and Time Warner in 2000, which faced integration challenges and failed to deliver anticipated synergies (Belyh, A. 2019).

From the 2000s onward, M&A activity has been influenced by fluctuations in economic conditions, evolving regulations, and advancements in technology. Recent years have seen a rise in technology-driven mergers, particularly in the software and biotech sectors. Notable examples include Disney's acquisition of Pixar in 2006, which demonstrated the value of cultural and strategic compatibility, and Amazon's acquisition of Whole Foods in 2017, which underscored the integration of technology into traditional industries (Institute of Mergers, 2025).

These examples illustrate the diverse outcomes of M&A activity, ranging from successful value creation to cases of underperformance due to strategic misalignments or integration issues. Overall, the evolution of M&A in the U.S. highlights the critical role of strategy, industry dynamics, and adaptability in determining transaction success.

METHODOLOGIES USED TO EVALUATE SHAREHOLDER VALUE IN M&A

Evaluating shareholder value in M&A relies on diverse methodologies, each offering unique insights and facing distinct challenges. This synthesis of approaches emphasizes their complementary nature in providing a holistic understanding of M&A impacts.

Event Studies

Event studies analyze stock price movements surrounding M&A announcements to gauge market perception and calculate abnormal returns. By observing immediate price reactions, they reveal how investors interpret the transaction's potential value creation or destruction. This methodology is advantageous for delivering quick and precise insights into market sentiment, enabling real-time assessment of shareholder reactions. However, its short-term focus may miss the broader financial and strategic impacts that unfold over time, potentially underestimating the long-term implications of M&A (Kahneman, D. *et al.*, 1997).

Financial Ratio Analysis

Financial ratio analysis delves into changes in key performance indicators such as profitability, liquidity, and leverage before and after M&A

transactions. This approach offers a detailed view of operational impacts, highlighting whether the merged entities achieve expected synergies or face financial strain. Its strength lies in providing a granular understanding of financial health, which is critical for stakeholders aiming to assess the success of M&A initiatives. Nevertheless, this methodology demands extensive and accurate financial data, which may not always be readily available, posing a significant limitation (Corporate Finance Institute Team, 2025).

Case Studies

Case studies provide an in-depth exploration of specific M&A transactions, offering rich contextual insights into the dynamics that influence success or failure. They identify critical factors such as integration processes, cultural alignment, and strategic fit. This methodology is particularly useful for deriving lessons that inform future transactions. However, the findings from case studies often lack generalizability, limiting their applicability across diverse M&A scenarios (Bengtsson, L., & Larsson, R. 2012).

Each methodology contributes valuable perspectives to the evaluation of shareholder value in M&A. While event studies excel in capturing immediate market reactions, financial ratio analysis provides detailed operational insights, and case studies offer nuanced contextual understanding. By recognizing their strengths and limitations, researchers and practitioners can adopt a multi-method approach to develop a comprehensive assessment of M&A impacts.

Long-Term Value Creation Metrics

Beyond short-term stock reactions and static ratios, a modest but growing strand of research applies long-horizon, value-based metrics to assess M&A success. Economic Value Added (EVA), which measures profit after deducting the cost of capital, has been used in empirical studies to detect whether operating performance improvements follow acquisitions (Gulati & Garg, 2024). Market Value Added (MVA), which measures the gap between a firm's market value and invested capital, provides an external market-derived lens on whether managers are creating value beyond invested resources and expectations embedded in prices (Mauboussin & Rappaport, 2021). Together these measures help counter the short-term bias of studying individual events by signaling whether projected synergies actually materialize over a multi-year horizon. However, caveats remain. Isolating the causal effect of a single M&A from other corporate actions and macro shocks requires

careful design and robust controls, so EVA and MVA should be used alongside matched-sample or synthetic-control approaches when feasible.

SHAREHOLDER VALUE AND M&A

Key Factors Influencing Shareholder Value in M&A

M&A are complex undertakings influenced by various factors that determine their ultimate success or failure. Understanding these elements is critical for achieving the desired outcomes and mitigating risks.

Strategic Alignment

The compatibility of corporate goals between merging firms plays a pivotal role in determining the success of M&A transactions. Aligning long-term objectives allows companies to leverage synergies effectively, creating value for stakeholders. For example, the 2006 Disney-Pixar merger with a transaction value of \$7.4 billion, succeeded largely due to the cultural and strategic alignment between the two organizations. Disney's market capitalization grew from approximately \$60 billion in 2006 to over \$200 billion by 2023, driven by Pixar's creative output (e.g., Toy Story 3, Frozen) generating \$14+ billion in global box office revenue. Moreover, cultural alignment allowed Pixar's creative autonomy, preserving its 90% plus employee retention rate. Conversely, misalignment can hinder value creation, as seen in the 1998 Daimler-Chrysler merger, where incompatible corporate visions undermined potential synergies. This \$36 billion merger, the largest cross-border merger at the time, cost the loss of over \$40 billion by 2007. Later that year, Chrysler was sold to Cerberus Capital Management for just \$7.4 billion, erasing approximately 80% of the merger's initial value (Setu, A., & Rothman, H. 2023; Mousa, B., & Restum, H. 2020)

Due Diligence

Thorough pre-transaction analysis is essential for identifying potential risks and ensuring informed decision-making. Comprehensive assessments not only help in uncovering financial and operational risks but also aid in evaluating compatibility between the firms. For instance, the 2015 Kraft Foods and Heinz merger exemplified how rigorous due diligence could prevent overvaluation and minimize integration challenges, ultimately driving the merger's success. This \$46 billion strategic acquisition was driven by the need for consolidation in the food industry and aimed to create a more competitive entity in the global market. By thoroughly assessing both companies'

financials, operations, and cultural fit, the merger was positioned for success, allowing Kraft Heinz to leverage synergies and enhance its market presence effectively (Dun, & Bradstreet, 2024).

Integration Processes

Post-merger integration is often considered the most challenging phase, yet it is crucial for realizing anticipated synergies. Clear strategies, effective communication, and cultural compatibility can facilitate seamless integration. The 2006 Disney-Pixar \$7.4 billion merger demonstrated the importance of well-executed integration, while the 2000 AOL-Time Warner merger, valued at approximately \$164 billion, suffered due to ineffective post-merger strategies and cultural misalignment, leading to a substantial erosion of value and ultimately resulting in one of the most infamous failures in merger history (Setu, A., & Rothman, H. 2023; Li, T. 2016)

External Market Conditions

Economic and regulatory environments significantly shape the outcomes of M&A deals. Factors such as market stability, interest rates, and industry-specific conditions can either support or undermine transaction success. Kraft Foods-Heinz thrived under favorable market conditions, while other deals have faltered due to unforeseen economic shifts or regulatory hurdles (Bloomenthal, A. 2022).

Strategic alignment, rigorous due diligence, effective integration, and favorable external conditions are critical components of successful M&A transactions.

Impact of M&A on Shareholder Value: Evidence from the U.S. Capital Market

Empirical research highlights diverse outcomes for shareholders in M&A transactions. In 1997, Bhagat found that tender offers during the 1990s merger boom generally resulted in positive value improvements for target firms, with average gains of approximately 50% of target value. (Bhagat, 1997). Similarly, EY's analysis revealed that frequent M&A activity significantly enhances TSR and enterprise value growth, demonstrating the potential for long-term shareholder returns when executed strategically (Setu, A., & Rothman, H. 2023). Conversely, other studies emphasize that excessive bid premiums or poor integration processes can erode value for acquiring firms (Li, T. 2016; FINRA, 2016).

Positive Impacts

M&As in innovation-driven sectors, such as technology, often generate substantial returns by

capitalizing on synergies and enhancing competitive advantages. For example, the \$13.7 billion acquisition of Whole Foods in June 2017 by Amazon was met with positive market reactions. The acquisition was well-received by the market, reflecting optimism about the operational synergies that could be realized through Amazon's e-commerce capabilities and Whole Foods' established physical store presence. Analysts anticipated that this merger would enhance Amazon's market reach in the grocery sector, allowing it to leverage Whole Foods' brand and customer base while integrating its technology and logistics expertise to improve efficiency and customer experience. (Wilson, R. *et al.*, 2018).

Negative Impacts

Conversely, AOL and Time Warner's 2000 \$164 billion tie-up is widely seen as the benchmark example of post-merger value destruction. Initially celebrated as a visionary combination of new and traditional media, the promised synergies between AOL's digital platform and Time Warner's content never materialized. Academic research has already highlighted the problems involved in acquiring firms with high stock exchange ratios, when share-based transactions are made at times of market exuberance, so that elevated equity is used to make acquisitions at the expense of long-term shareholder value (Eckbo *et al.*, 2018). In addition, the failure depicted weak asset redeployability and high integration costs, consistent with evidence that asset specificity in mergers can erode firm value when shocks disrupt the expected synergy path (Kim, 2018). Within two years, AOL Time Warner announced goodwill impairments exceeding \$50 billion, one of the largest write-downs in U.S. corporate history, underscoring how managerial overconfidence, agency conflicts, and adverse market conditions can work together to erode shareholder value.

Neutral Outcomes

In cases where M&A decisions are driven more by market pressure than strategic necessity, the impact on shareholder value tends to be minimal. Transactions lacking clear objectives or synergies often fail to deliver meaningful benefits, highlighting the importance of robust due diligence and alignment with corporate goals. Notable examples include the 2020 merger between Sprint and T-Mobile, valued at \$26 billion in an all-stock transaction. The combined company aimed to enhance its competitive positioning in the telecommunications market, particularly in deploying a robust 5G network. As

part of the merger agreement, T-Mobile committed to divesting certain assets to Dish Network, which included Sprint's prepaid mobile brand Boost and \$3.6 billion worth of spectrum, ensuring that Dish could emerge as a viable fourth competitor in the market. This strategic alignment sought to create synergies estimated at \$43 billion, primarily through network integration and operational efficiencies. However, it faced skepticism regarding its potential impact on competition, with concerns that reducing the number of major carriers from four to three could lead to higher prices and reduced service quality for consumers (Lumb, D. 2023).

By examining these mixed outcomes, it becomes evident that the success of M&A transactions depends heavily on strategic planning, valuation accuracy, and effective integration. A critical approach to M&A decision-making is essential to maximize shareholder value while mitigating associated risks.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS IN ASSESSING SHAREHOLDER VALUE POST-M&A

Evaluating shareholder value following M&A presents several key challenges that complicate the assessment of true financial outcomes.

Measurement Complexities

Accurately differentiating the impacts of M&A from external market factors is a significant hurdle. Traditional methodologies, such as event studies, often struggle to isolate the specific effects of a merger from broader market movements. For instance, Bhagat highlights that short event windows may capture only a fraction of the value effects, while longer windows introduce noise and benchmark errors, complicating the evaluation process (Bhagat, 1997). This dilemma makes it challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the financial benefits derived from M&A activities.

Data Availability

Limited access to comprehensive and proprietary datasets further hinders analysis. Many studies rely on publicly available information, which may not provide a complete picture of transaction details or the strategic rationale behind M&A decisions. As Mousa and Restum note, the lack of detailed data can obscure the determinants of shareholder value creation, making it difficult to assess the effectiveness of M&A strategies (Mousa, B., & Restum, H. 2020).

Market Anomalies

Market anomalies, including behavioral biases and speculative activities, can distort stock price reactions during assessment periods. Such anomalies may lead to misinterpretations of shareholder value changes post-M&A. Research indicates that investor sentiment can significantly influence stock performance, often overshadowing fundamental value changes (Mousa, B., & Restum, H. 2020). Unexpected events can also impact market reactions, further complicating evaluations of M&A effectiveness. Addressing these challenges requires ongoing research and improved methodologies to refine the evaluation of shareholder value in M&A contexts.

By enhancing data availability and developing more robust analytical frameworks, stakeholders can better navigate the complexities inherent in assessing M&A transactions. These challenges highlight the intricacies involved in measuring the effects of M&A on shareholder value and underscore the need for robust methodologies in future research.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

To maximize shareholder value in M&A transactions, stakeholders should implement several key strategies:

Enhanced Transparency

Mandating detailed disclosure of M&A objectives and outcomes is essential for providing stakeholders with clear insights into the rationale behind transactions. Transparency fosters trust and allows investors to make informed decisions based on the strategic intentions of the merger or acquisition (Hearn, P. 2023).

Stakeholder Engagement

Actively including shareholder perspectives in decision-making processes ensures that their interests are considered throughout the M&A lifecycle. Engaging shareholders can enhance support for the transaction and align corporate actions with stakeholder expectations (M&A Leadership Council, 2024).

Regulatory Oversight

Strengthening antitrust regulations promotes market fairness and prevents anti-competitive practices that could harm shareholder interests. Effective regulation can safeguard against monopolistic behaviors that diminish shareholder value by limiting competition (Valida Company, 2024).

Thorough Due Diligence

Conducting comprehensive assessments to identify potential risks associated with the merger or acquisition is crucial for informed decision-making. Due diligence allows companies to uncover hidden liabilities and assess the target's financial health, which is vital for maximizing value (M&A Leadership Council. 2024)

Clear Integration Plans

Developing well-defined strategies that align with overall corporate goals facilitates smooth transitions post-M&A. Effective integration plans help realize synergies and minimize disruptions, ultimately enhancing operational efficiency (Britt, T. 2023)

Transparent Communication

Maintaining open lines of communication with shareholders throughout the process fosters trust and keeps them informed of developments. Regular updates can help manage expectations and reinforce confidence in the leadership's ability to execute the M&A successfully (Rappaport, A. 2006).

By implementing these strategies, stakeholders can better navigate the complexities of M&A transactions and foster more predictable and favorable outcomes for shareholder wealth.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Promising areas for future research in the context of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) include:

Technological Integration

Investigating the role of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain in enhancing M&A processes. This includes understanding how these technologies can streamline operations, improve due diligence, and facilitate seamless transitions during integration. Emphasizing technology's importance in post-merger integration can lead to better alignment of IT systems, ultimately maximizing operational efficiency and value creation (LeewayHertz. 2024).

Environmental, Social, and Governance Factors

Evaluating the impact of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations on transaction outcomes. Research could focus on how integrating ESG factors into the M&A strategy influences shareholder value and long-term sustainability. Understanding the implications of these factors can help organizations navigate regulatory landscapes and meet stakeholder

expectations effectively (Mortkovitch, N. 2024; Siddhartha, S. 2024)

Geopolitical Influences

Assessing how global political shifts affect U.S. M&A activity. This area of study could explore the implications of changing international relations, trade policies, and economic conditions on cross-border transactions. Some of the challenges to this includes exploring regulatory hurdles, cultural integration issues, and market entry strategies that influence the success of international transactions. Analyzing geopolitical dynamics will provide insights into strategic decision-making for companies involved in M&A (Financier Worldwide. 2024).

Technological advancements, ESG considerations, and geopolitical dynamics are reshaping the landscape of mergers and acquisitions. By integrating these factors into their strategies, companies can enhance decision-making, mitigate risks, and create sustainable value. Future research should continue to explore these dimensions to provide deeper insights into optimizing M&A outcomes in an increasingly complex global environment.

CONCLUSION

Evaluating the impact of M&A on shareholder value in the U.S. capital market presents significant complexity. M&A transactions can create opportunities for growth and operational efficiency; however, their success hinges on a variety of internal and external factors. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for stakeholders seeking to enhance shareholder wealth. This review emphasizes the necessity for ongoing research to fill existing knowledge gaps and improve evaluation methodologies. By critically analyzing empirical evidence and theoretical frameworks, insights can be gained into the intricacies of M&A transactions. Addressing challenges such as measurement difficulties and market perceptions is essential for fostering more predictable and favorable outcomes. Stakeholders, including investors and corporate executives, must navigate these complexities to maximize the benefits of M&A activities. Emerging research avenues should focus on refining assessment techniques and understanding the nuances of strategic fit, integration processes, and market conditions. By doing so, stakeholders can develop more effective strategies that align with their objectives. Ultimately, a comprehensive understanding of M&A's impact on shareholder value will empower decision-makers to optimize

their approaches, ensuring that the potential advantages of these transactions are fully realized.

REFERENCES

1. Vinogradova, V. "Capital Markets and Performance of Strategic Corporate M&A: An Investigation of Value Drivers." *European Journal of Management and Business Economics* 30(3) (2021): 357–385. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-06-2020-0168>
2. Hayes, A. "Mergers and Acquisitions (M&As): Types, Structures, and Valuation." *Investopedia* (2024). <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/m/mergers-and-acquisitions.asp>
3. Emerald Insight. "Significance of Mergers and Acquisitions, Evaluating Companies for Mergers and Acquisitions." *International Business and Management* 30 (2014): 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1876-066X20140000030000>
4. Setu, A., & Rothman, H. "How M&A is Helping to Boost Shareholder Returns." *EY Parthenon* (2023). https://www.ey.com/en_us/insights/strategy/how-mergers-and-acquisitions-can-create-value-defying-m-and-a-skeptics
5. Hayes, A. "Shareholder Value: Definition, Calculation, and How to Maximize It." *Investopedia* (2023). <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/shareholder-value.asp>
6. Wikipedia. "Shareholder Value." *Wikipedia* (2025). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shareholder_value
7. Muszynski, M. "The Concept and Importance of Synergy in the Context of M&A." *Acquinox* (2024). <https://acquinoxadvisors.com/the-concept-and-importance-of-synergy-in-the-context-of-ma>
8. Wellhub. "10 Benefits of Combining Forces: Mergers and Acquisitions." *Wellhub* (2025). <https://wellhub.com/en-us/blog/organizational-development/merger-and-acquisition>
9. Kopp, C. "What Is Agency Theory?" *Investopedia* (2024). <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/agency-theory.asp>
10. Marsch, T. "Principal–Agency Theory in Mergers and Acquisitions." *GRIN* (2015). <https://www.grin.com/document/302640>
11. Downey, L. "Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH): Definition and Critique." *Investopedia* (2024). <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/e/efficient-market-hypothesis.asp>
12. Capital.com. "What Is Market Efficiency?" *Capital.com* (2020). <https://capital.com/market-efficiency-definition>
13. Golbe, D., & White, L. "Mergers and Acquisitions in the U.S. Economy: An Aggregate and Historical Overview." *University of Chicago Press* (1987): 25–48. <http://www.nber.org/chapters/c5820>
14. Belyh, A. "A Historical Analysis of M&A Waves." *Cleverism* (2019). <https://cleverism.com/historical-analysis-ma-waves-mergers-acquisition/>
15. Institute of Mergers, Acquisition & Alliance. "United States – M&A Statistics." *IMAA* (2025). <https://imaa-institute.org/mergers-and-acquisitions-statistics/united-states-ma-statistics>
16. Kahneman, D., Wakker, P., & Sarin, R. "Back to Bentham? Explorations of Experienced Utility." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112(2) (1997): 375–405. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2951240>
17. Corporate Finance Institute Team. "Financial Ratios." *Corporate Finance Institute* (2025). <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/accounting/financial-ratios>
18. Bengtsson, L., & Larsson, R. "Researching Mergers & Acquisitions with the Case Study Method: Idiographic Understanding of Longitudinal Integration Processes." *Center for Strategic Innovation Research Paper* 2012/4 (2012). https://www.bth.se/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/12_wp4_2.pdf
19. Mousa, B., & Restum, H. "The Impact of M&A on Shareholders' Value: Determinants of the Acquirer Value Creation—Empirical Evidence from the Swedish Market." *Lund University School of Economics and Management* (2020).
20. Dun & Bradstreet. "The Critical Role of Due Diligence in Mergers and Acquisitions." *Dun & Bradstreet* (2024). <https://www.dnb.co.in/blog/importance-of-due-diligence-in-mergers-and-acquisitions>
21. Li, T. "A Study on the Impact of Mergers & Acquisitions on Shareholders' Wealth and Efficiency." *SHS Web of Conferences* 25 (2016): 02013. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20162502013>
22. Bloomenthal, A. "How Does a Merger Affect Shareholders?" *Investopedia* (2022). <https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/04>

- [0815/how-does-merger-affect-shareholders.asp](#)
23. Bhagat. "The Effect of Takeovers on Shareholder Value." *Yale Law School* (1997). <https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/bhagat.pdf>
 24. FINRA. "How Mergers and Acquisitions Impact Investors." *FINRA* (2024). <https://www.finra.org/investors/insights/how-mergers-acquisitions-impact-investors>
 25. Wilson, R., Picciola, M., & Steingoltz, M. "Digital Grocery Lessons from Amazon's Acquisition of Whole Foods." *LEK* (2018). <https://www.lek.com/insights/ei/digital-grocery-lessons-amazons-acquisition-whole-foods>
 26. Lumb, D. "T-Mobile's Merger with Sprint: Everything That's Changed 3 Years Later." *CNET* (2023). <https://www.cnet.com/tech/mobile/t-mobiles-merger-with-sprint-everything-thats-changed-3-years-later>
 27. Hearn, P. "Enhancing Shareholder Value Through Mergers and Acquisitions." *LinkedIn* (2023). <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/enhancing-shareholder-value-through-mergers-dr-phillip-hearn-ed-d>
 28. M&A Leadership Council. "Building Shareholder Value After a Merger." *M&A Leadership Council* (2024). <https://www.macouncil.org/blog/2024/03/21/building-shareholder-value-after-merger>
 29. Valida Company. "Creating Value Through Corporate M&A: Strategies to Enhance Shareholder Returns." *Valida Company* (2024). <https://www.validacompany.com/unlocking-value-through-corporate-m-a-strategies-to-enhance-shareholder-returns>
 30. Britt, T. "M&A Due Diligence: The Role of Effective IT Integration in Maximizing Shareholder Value." *Forbes Tech Council, Forbes* (2023). <https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbestechcouncil/2024/04/15/ma-due-diligence-the-role-of-effective-it-integration-in-maximizing-shareholder-value>
 31. Rappaport, A. "Ten Ways to Create Shareholder Value." *Harvard Business Review* (2006). <https://hbr.org/2006/09/ten-ways-to-create-shareholder-value>
 32. LeewayHertz. "AI for M&A: Applications, Benefits, Implementations, Technologies, and Solutions." *LeewayHertz* (2024). <https://www.leewayhertz.com/ai-for-mergers-and-acquisitions>
 33. Mortkovitch, N. "Assessing the Impact of ESG Scores on M&A Performance: A Theoretical and Empirical Examination." *Saint Mary's College of California* (2024). <https://digitalcommons.stmarys-ca.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=executive-dba>
 34. Siddhartha, S. "The Impact of ESG Considerations on Corporate Mergers and Acquisitions: Strategies for Value Creation." *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24JUL1398>
 35. Financier Worldwide. "Geopolitical Risk in Cross-Border M&A: Tough Times Ahead Before and After Closing." *Financier Worldwide Magazine* (2024). <https://www.financierworldwide.com/geopolitical-risk-in-cross-border-ma-tough-times-ahead-before-and-after-closing>
 36. Eckbo, B. E., Makaew, T., and Thorburn, K. S. "Are Stock-Financed Takeovers Opportunistic?" *Journal of Financial Economics* 128(3) (2018): 443–465.
 37. Kim, J. H. "Asset Specificity and Firm Value: Evidence from Mergers." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 48 (2018): 375–412.
 38. Mauboussin, M., and Rappaport, A. "Expectations Investing: Reading Stock Prices for Better Returns." *Columbia University Press* (2021).
 39. Gulati, P. A., & Garg, S. "Impact of Merger on Stock Returns and Economic Value Added (EVA) of the Acquiring Firms: A Study from Indian Corporate Sector." *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 19(3) (2024): 649–664.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:

Asamoah, E. and Buabin, W. K. A. "Evaluating the Impact of Mergers and Acquisitions on Shareholder Value in the U.S. Capital Market." *Sarcouncil Journal of Multidisciplinary* 5.11 (2025): pp 126-133.